



Mark Reynolds

**IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO
NOTICE OF ORAL ARGUMENT**

DATE & TIME: Thursday, February 26, 2026 at 10:30 A.M.

No. A-1-CA-41279

STATE OF NEW MEXICO,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

v.

CARLOS SANCHEZ-TRILLO,

Defendant-Appellant.

***PANEL: Judge Duffy, Judge Henderson, and Judge Wray**

***Court of Appeals' panel members are listed in seniority order.**

Panels may be changed without notice.

Oral Argument will be held in the Albuquerque Court of Appeals Pamela B. Minzner Law Center, 2211 Tucker NE, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87106.

Please park in the "L" parking lot and go to the clerk's office counter for a parking pass. Limit one parking pass to each party arguing before the Court. Please have your driver's license with you, as you must leave your driver's license with the clerk's office to receive a parking pass.

IN ADDITION TO THE FACTS AND ARGUMENTS BRIEFED, COUNSEL SHALL BE PREPARED TO ADDRESS THE FOLLOWING:

1. For the crime of conspiracy to commit distribution of a controlled substance, is the State required to prove that a defendant purchaser intended to further distribute a controlled substance or is it sufficient to establish that during the purchase of a controlled substance, the defendant purchaser shared the seller's intent to distribute? Did the evidence in the present case establish the intent requirement for the crime of conspiracy to distribute a controlled substance?

2. What was the Legislature's intent under NMSA 1978, § 30-22-14(B) (2024) to establish the elements of bringing contraband into a jail as opposed to under Section 30-22-14(A), bringing contraband into a prison? Did the evidence in the present case establish the elements of Section 30-22-14(B) to the extent that they are different from Section 30-22-14(A)? Does this Court nevertheless measure the sufficiency of the evidence against the instructions that were given, particularly in the absence of any challenge to the instructions, or does this Court have an obligation to measure the sufficiency of the evidence against the statutory elements? *See State v. Rosaire*, 1996-NMCA-115, ¶¶ 20-21, 123 N.M. 250, 939 P.2d 597; *State v. Luna*, 2018-NMCA-025, ¶ 27 n.3, 458 P.3d 457; *State v. Arias*, 2018-NMCA-057, ¶ 10, 427 P.3d 129.

January 23, 2026

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

RECEIPT OF ORAL ARGUMENT

Attorneys must eFile this form with the Court of Appeals within 5 days of receipt.

Pro Se parties must return this form to the Court of Appeals Clerk's Office at P.O. Box 2008, Santa Fe, NM 87504-2008 or fax to 505-841-4614 within 5 days of receipt.

I hereby acknowledge receipt of the Oral Argument scheduled in Court of Appeals No. A-1-CA-41279, *State v. C Sanchez-Trillo*, scheduled for Thursday, **February 26, 2026 at 10:30 AM** in the New Mexico Court of Appeals Pamela B. Minzner Law Center Courtroom, 2211 Tucker NE, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87106.

Dated: _____

Attorney/Party Signature: _____

Print Name: _____

ATTENTION: REGARDING SETTLEMENT

Judges and their staff spend several days preparing for oral argument. If a case settles, some or all of this time can be utilized working other cases. To conserve judicial resources, counsel are instructed to notify the Clerk of the Court if settlement negotiations are underway and to keep him informed of the progress. Should the parties reach settlement, the Court should be informed immediately.

ATTENTION: PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES

New Mexico Courts comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act and Title VI. If you need accommodations or the services of an interpreter in court, email or call the court as soon as possible to inform us. We will make every effort to ensure that oral arguments are held at facilities which are fully accessible to persons with disabilities. If you plan to attend an oral argument and will need assistance relating to a disability please contact our office prior to the oral argument date. (505-841-4618; FAX: 505-841-4614)

MARK REYNOLDS
Chief Clerk of the Court of Appeals